

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/061,727	10/26/2001	John E. Sims	3151-A	9375	
22932	7590 02/08/2005		EXAMINER		
IMMUNEX CORPORATION			LI, RUIXIANG		
LAW DEPA 1201 AMGE	RIMENI EN COURT WEST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE,	WA 98119		1646		
			DATE MAILED: 02/08/200	DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a initial rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filled amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)] The period for reply expires		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Examiner Ruixing Li 1648	Advisory Action	10/061,727	SIMS ET AL.						
### The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address = ### THE REPLY FILED on 12/17/2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE #### Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a ### inapplication under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in prodiction for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ### Exercised Pail of the P	Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit						
THE REPLY FILED on 12/17/2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a innal rejection under 37 CFR 1.131 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b) The period for reply expires or: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b) The period for reply expires or: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires or: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRAL RECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the National State of the final rejection of the period of the short of the State of the State of the final rejection on the National State of the State		Ruixiang Li	1646						
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a initial rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filled amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)] The period for reply expires	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
a)	Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued								
b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension es under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or 2) as set forth in (1) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if imely flied, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.191(a), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 1. ▲ Notice of Appeal was filed on 23 July 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailling date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TVO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 708.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply origins in the final folic action; or 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if immely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(d). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 23 July 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:									
ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if imply filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). A Notice of Appeal was filed on 23 July 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. □ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: □ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); □ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); □ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or □ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): □ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). □ Applicant's reply has overcome the considered because: See Continuation Sheet. □ The affidavit, b) shibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. □ The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: □ Claim(s) objected: 5.6 and 9.11. □ Claim(s) objected: 5.6 and 9.11. □ Claim(s) withdrawn from c	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension								
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 ČFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2.	ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
(a)	37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1.2 and 7. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3.4.8 and 12-14. 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1.2 and 7. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 5.6 and 9-11. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3.4.8 and 12-14. 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1,2 and 7. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 5,6 and 9-11. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3,4,8 and 12-14. 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
NOTE: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See									
 3. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. □ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. □ The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1.2 and 7. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3.4.8 and 12-14. 8. □ The drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 									
 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1,2 and 7. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 5,6 and 9-11. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3,4,8 and 12-14. 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 		ion(s):							
 The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1,2 and 7. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 5,6 and 9-11. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3,4,8 and 12-14. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment								
 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1,2 and 7. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 5,6 and 9-11. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3,4,8 and 12-14. 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) ⊠ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the								
 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1,2 and 7. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 5,6 and 9-11. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3,4,8 and 12-14. 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly								
Claim(s) allowed: 1,2 and 7. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 5,6 and 9-11. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3,4,8 and 12-14. 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 5,6 and 9-11. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3,4,8 and 12-14. 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5,6 and 9-11</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>3,4,8 and 12-14</u> . 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Claim(s) allowed: 1.2 and 7.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3,4,8 and 12-14. 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Claim(s) objected to:								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Claim(s) rejected: 5,6 and 9-11.								
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3,4,8 and 12-14.								
	8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
10. ☐ Other: JANET ANDRES	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
PHIMARY EXAMINER									

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: all the rejections set forth in the final rejection (Paper No. 0217, 02/24/2004) are maintained.

- (i) The rejection of claims 5, 6, 9, and 10 under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph for description is maintained because Applicants fail to address the rejection (neither argue nor amend the claims).
- (ii) The rejection claims 10 and 11 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is maintained. Applicants argue that because the polypeptide which is prepared is defined by the expression vector which is recited in the body of the claim. Applicants submit that the use of polypeptide is in the preamble and is not a defining term. This is not persuasive because a host cell transfected with the expression vector would produce the polypeptide recited in the claims, as well as the polypeptides that a host cell normally expresses. Since neither the preamble nor the body of the claim indicates what protein is to be made by the process, the claim is indefinite.
- (iii) The rejection of claims 5 (part h), 6, 9, and 10 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Huang et al. (Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 94:12829-12832, 1997) and the rejection of claims 5 (part h), 6, 9, and 10 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cao (US Patent No. 6,280,955) are maintained. Applicants argue that the amended claim 5 e) recites only part c). Thus, the claimed polynucleotide is not within nucleotides 1-1354 taught by the cited art. This is not persuasive because the amended claim 5 c) recites a polynucleotide that encodes a polypeptide comprising amino acid residues 449-687 of SEQ ID NO: 2 (note the open language "comprising") and thus encompasses the polynucleotide set forth in SEQ ID NO: 1. The two references teach a cDNA, which encodes a interleukin 1 receptor accessory protein (that interacts with an IL-1R signal transduction factor) comprising the amino acid residues 1 to 448 of SEQ ID NO: 2 and shares 51.7% sequence identity with SEQ ID NO: 1. This cDNA would hybridize to the polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 1 under conditions of moderate stringency as recited in the claim 5, part e), meeting the limitations of claim 5, part e). Claims 6, 9, and 10 are rejected as dependent claims from claim 5.
- (iv) The objection to claims 5, 6, 9, and 10 for reciting unelected subject matter has been withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendment to the claims.